

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JOHN ERIC PARTANEN,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 WESTERN UNITED STATES PIPE
15 BAND ASSOCIATION,

16 Defendant.
17
18

Case No. 1:21-cv-00588-NONE-BAM

**ORDER DISREGARDING STIPULATION
ON DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO
COMPLAINT DUE DATE**

(Doc. 10)

19 On May 24, 2021, Plaintiff John Eric Partanen, proceeding pro se, submitted a document
20 titled "Stipulation on Defenfdant's [sic] Response to Complaint Due Date." (Doc. 10.)

21 According to the document, following a meet and confer telephone conversation between Plaintiff
22 and defense counsel, they have agreed that Defendant's response to Plaintiff's complaint shall be
23 on or before June 28, 2021. (*Id.* at 1.) Plaintiff contends that "[t]here was a misunderstanding
24 over the waiver of service by the defendant." (*Id.*)

25 Defense counsel has neither appeared in this action nor signed the purported stipulation.
26 The Court is unable to consider or give effect to any purported stipulation in the absence of
27 signatures from all parties affected by the proposed stipulation. Accordingly, the "Stipulation on
28

Defendant's [sic] Response to Complaint Due Date" is HEREBY DISREGARDED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 26, 2021

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE